



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NUMBER 1	12/16/00 DATE	KO14UM1	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	H 010889/0156	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
----------------------	---------------	---------	-----------------------	---------------	---------------------

QM02/0830

RICHARD L SCHWAAB  
FOLEY & LARDNER  
WASHINGTON HARBOUR  
3000 K STREET NW SUITE 500  
WASHINGTON DC 20007-5109

ATKINSON, C  
EXAMINER

3743 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 03/30/01

Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application  
COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

### ADVISORY ACTION

☒ THE PERIOD FOR REPLY:

- a) ☒ will expire three months from the date of the final Office action (including extensions of time granted).  
b) ☐ expires either (1) three months from the mail date of the final Office action, or (2) on the mail date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than six months from the mail date of the final Office action.

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above.

- ☐ Appellant's Brief is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1.192(a).  
☒ Applicant's reply to the final rejection, filed 8/21/2001 has been considered with the following effect, but it is not deemed to place the application in condition for allowance.

1. ☐ The proposed amendment to the claim and/or specifications will not be entered and the final rejection stands because:

- a. ☐ There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier presented.  
b. ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note).  
c. ☐ They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note).  
d. ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.  
e. ☐ They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

NOTE:

2. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim \_\_\_\_\_ would be allowed if submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.  
3. ☐ Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment ☐ will be entered ☐ will not be entered and the status of the claims will be as follows:

Claim allowed: \_\_\_\_\_  
Claims objected to: \_\_\_\_\_  
Claims rejected: \_\_\_\_\_

However;

- ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): \_\_\_\_\_

4. ☒ The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because the shape of the reinforcement hole (15) in Itagawa coincides with the front end (13) of the side plate (14). See Partial translation, page 1, last paragraph Figures 2A-2B of 5A-5B in Itagawa. Reinforcement hole (15) is curved at the ends and has a linear section therebetween. All other concerns have been addressed in the Final Rejection in the "Response to Arguments" Section.  
5. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficient reasons why it was not earlier presented.  
☐ The proposed drawing correction ☐ has ☐ has not been approved by the examiner.  
☐ Other \_\_\_\_\_

Applicant may obtain further examination by filing a request for an application under 37 CFR 1.53(d) (CPA).

CHRISTOPHER ATKINSON  
PRIMARY EXAMINER

*Christopher Atkinson*